Climate Change and its Impacts on Food Insecurity and Hunger

Presented by the Inter-Agency Standing Committee’s Task Force on Climate Change

This event brought together members of the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) Task Force on Climate Change to discuss bringing food insecurity and hunger into the climate change discourse and to present an IASC paper on “Climate Change Adaptation Strategies for Local Impact: Key Messages for UNFCCC Negotiators.”

Saleemul Huq, International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED), said the IASC paper highlights the need for increased agricultural productivity and resilience, improved disaster risk management, social protection schemes for the vulnerable, and community and farm-level action.

Lester Brown, Earth Policy Institute, stressed the critical nexus between population growth and the hydrological impacts of climate change, which are projected to increase food insecurity.

Quamrul Chowdhury, Bangladesh, underscored the particular impacts on least developed countries and small island developing States due to localized food shortfalls, and the global effects of such shortfalls on international food markets.

Balisi Gopolang, Department of Meteorological Services, Botswana, said food security had been included in national communications, addressing the issue through national planning processes. He emphasized that “we either adapt or we die.”

Ama Essel, University of Ghana Medical School, Ghana, emphasized that malnutrition decreases immunity. She stated that addressing the rise in diseases will require large increases in funding in the health sector, especially in developing countries.

Irene Sobotta, International Federation of Agricultural Producers (IFAP), stressed the role of farmers in providing food security and said positive incentives should be used to encourage sustainable farming practices.

Participants also discussed improving water management, population growth, possible conflicts between productivity and resilience, capacity building, and methods of integrating food security into the UNFCCC negotiations.
Bridging the Water and Climate Agenda
Organized by the Global Public Policy Network on Water Management (GPPN)

This event examined how to secure commitments on water in the climate change negotiations and more closely connect the water-climate agendas.

Karin Lexén, Stockholm International Water Institute, described the GPPN initiative, which works with stakeholders to identify and promote key priorities for the international water and sanitation agenda.

Hannah Stoddart, Stakeholder Forum for a Sustainable Future, said the latest AWG-LCA text (known as “non-paper 31”) no longer had any direct references to water, and outlined proposals to reintroduce text that highlights the critical importance of water to the climate agenda. She stressed linkages with climate adaptation.

Joakim Harlin, Water Governance Programme, UNDP, said millions of people lack access to safe water not because of scarcity in a physical sense, but because they are locked out by poverty, inequality and failures of governance. Noting that water issues tend to be addressed in a sectoral way, he emphasized its cross-cutting nature. He warned of an “adaptation apartheid” emerging due to the lack of means available to poor people to cope with and manage vulnerabilities. He highlighted the coordinating activities of UN-Water and UNDP’s capacity building efforts at the local, national and regional levels.

Joseph Halder, NGO Forum for Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation, highlighted the impacts of climate change on water in Bangladesh, including flood, drought, salt-water intrusion, destruction of aquatic ecosystems, declining groundwater tables and increases in extreme weather patterns.

Participants emphasized the relationship between water and national adaptation programmes of action (NAPAs), the cross-cutting nature of water, the need to include water in the UNFCCC outcomes, and linking water and climate change.

The Water Day to be held on 3 November 2009 was highlighted. The Water Day will focus on water issues, including: water and livelihoods; water, ecosystems and forests; water and land; water and regional / transboundary cooperation; water and gender; and water and energy. For more information contact Stephen Mooney, Stakeholder Forum <smooney@stakeholderforum.org>

More information:
http://gppn.stakeholderforum.org/
http://www.siwi.org/

Contacts:
Karin Lexén (Chair) <karin.lexen@siwi.org>
Hannah Stoddart <hstoddart@stakeholderforum.org>
Joakim Harlin <joakim.harlin@undp.org>
Joseph Halder <ngofa@bangla.net>
Climate Change Finance and Aid for Trade: Maximizing Benefits for LDCs and SIDS

Presented by the International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD)

The event considered aid-for-trade and climate change funding, as well as the capacity building needs of least developed countries (LDCs) and small island developing States (SIDS).

Gloria Carrión, ICTSD, introduced the aid-for-trade topic with a review of the financial and human resources required for climate change policies in LDCs and SIDS.

Ana Maria Kleymeyer, ICTSD, outlined overseas development assistance and funding through multilateral and regional development banks impacting mitigation and adaptation.

Vinaye Dey Ancharaz, University of Mauritius, identified complementarities between aid-for-trade and climate change in the areas of trade policy and regulation, trade-related infrastructure, building productive capacities and development.

Jodie Keane, Overseas Development Institute (ODI), discussed mitigation in the agricultural sector in terms of carbon trade (including reducing emissions from deforestation in developing countries, and land use, land-use change and forestry). He also discussed agriculture in the context of carbon market financing and the Clean Development Mechanism, and trade in low-carbon products and services such as biofuels.

Amos Enock Majule, Institute of Resource Assessment, University of Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania, reviewed links between trade and financial mechanisms in Tanzania and Malawi, where the absorptive and institutional capacities limit the impacts of projects.

Participants discussed investment and trade capacities for both mitigation and adaptation, with the understanding that climate change policies often have a lower priority than more immediate economic and social problems.
An Assessment of the Climate Talks - Will There Be a Fair Outcome in Copenhagen?
Organized by South Centre

This event presented perspectives from developing country experts and negotiators on the current state-of-play in the negotiations.

Martin Khor, South Centre, said the main aim in Barcelona should be progress in the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol (AWG-KP). He argued that significant developed country commitments under the AWG-KP should be a prerequisite for movement under the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention (AWG-LCA). He expressed concern at the EU's proposal for a new agreement that would include essential elements from the Kyoto Protocol, since there would be many interpretations of what elements are "essential." He said developed countries should lead with commitments to deep emissions cuts and large-scale assistance to developing countries.

Ibrahim Mirghani Ibrahim, Sudan, said the G-77/China is seeking implementation of what was agreed under the UNFCCC, Kyoto Protocol and Bali Action Plan. He highlighted the role of civil society in pushing for progress.

Vicente Paolo Yu, South Centre, said the AWG-KP and AWG-LCA tracks should remain separate, and noted the African Group’s statement in negotiations that the AWG-KP should be focusing solely on the level of Annex I commitments for the second commitment period.

Lim Li Lin, Third World Network, said developed countries are proposing bottom-up pledges with lower goals and no aggregate target. She said any attempt to “kill Kyoto” through procedural means should be resisted, and opposed merging the AWG-KP and AWG-LCA processes.

Gadir Lavadenz, Bolivia, said a fair outcome in Copenhagen would include maintaining the Kyoto Protocol.

In response to a question about the impact of the new US administration on the negotiations, Martin Khor said there remained a lack of willingness to take on international legally binding commitments. One participant highlighted the complexity of intellectual property rights and the need to recognize the role of both the private and public sectors. 

More information:

Contacts:
Martin Khor (Chair) <khor@southcentre.org>
Ibrahim Mirghani Ibrahim <mission.sudan@ties.itu.int>
Vicente Paolo Yu <yu@southcentre.org>
Lim Li Lin <twnet@po.jaring.my>
Gadir Lavadenz <gadirlavadenz@gmail.com>