CBD COP-6 HIGHLIGHTS: THURSDAY, 18 APRIL 2002

The Ministerial roundtable reconvened in the morning to adopt the Ministerial declaration and address outstanding forest issues. A multi-stakeholder dialogue was held to address gender issues and benefit-sharing. A brief Plenary met in the evening to review progress. Working Group II (WG-II) met in morning and evening sessions to consider Conference Room Papers (CRPs) on: Article 8(j); financial resources and mechanism; contribution to the ten-year review of Agenda 21; as well as the multi-year programme of work. Contact groups on forest biodiversity and the financial mechanism also met.

MINISTERIAL ROUNDTABLE

In the morning, COP-6 President Geke Faber (the Netherlands) presented a revised Ministerial declaration. Some small island developing States (SIDS) emphasized reference to climate change and coral reef issues, with one suggesting flexibility in the year-2010 target. One country suggested stronger links between references to financing and needs of least developed countries, SIDS and economies in transition, and another proposed links between financing and forestry. One Minister advocated reference to the international environmental governance process, while others requested clearer references to: UNFF, CCD and UNFCCC; recognition of SIDS as a regional grouping; and ethics, including a possible code of ethics.

President Faber said a new draft would be prepared and opened discussions on forests, calling for focus on international priority setting and a review mechanism for the work programme’s implementation. Ministers emphasized an action-oriented programme, illegal logging and trade, and capacity building for enforcement. They also debated prioritization of certain forest types, with one calling for protected areas for all types, and another suggesting guidelines for setting national priorities. President Faber adjourned the meeting and convened a “Friends of the Chair” group to draft a paragraph on forests and consider giving political guidance to the contact group on forests.

In the afternoon session, Ministers considered and adopted a second revised declaration, with the exception of the forest-related paragraphs, which were to be harmonized with the contact group’s outcome. After a report from WG-I Chair Peter Schei (Norway) on outstanding issues in the forest contact group, Ministers decided to allow time for the contact group to reach agreement and reconvene, if necessary, to make a final political decision on unresolved issues. UNEP Executive Director Klaus Töpfer characterized the broad Ministerial participation in the COP-6 high-level segment as a breakthrough for the CBD, placing it on equal footing with the UNFCCC.

MULTI-STAKEHOLDER DIALOGUE

President Faber and Maria José López, Sobrevivencia (Paraguay) co-chaired the multi-stakeholder dialogue, which considered involvement of women in conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity, and benefit-sharing.

WOMEN AND BIODIVERSITY: Lorena Aquilar, Senior Gender Advisor, IUCN, discussed mainstreaming the issue of gender and environment on the institutional, political and field levels. Representatives from the Youth Conference called for legal protection and the need to ensure that all young people are considered.” FRIENDS OF THE EARTH MALAYSIA stressed environmental impacts of globalisation. NEW ZEALAND emphasized involvement of women, youth, and all cultures in biodiversity-related programmes. ETHIOPIA proposed financing for women’s participation in biodiversity meetings. An indigenous representative from Papua New Guinea stressed the need for responsible, community-driven resource use. MOZAMBIQUE emphasized access to education to ensure women’s effective participation. Representatives from KIDS FOR FORESTS described their countries’ detrimental forest activities.

BENEFIT-SHARING: The INTERMEDIATE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP highlighted the roles of indigenous peoples and local communities in maintaining seed and crop diversity, and called for a ban on terminator seeds. THE THIRD WORLD NETWORK noted deficiencies in the Bonn guidelines, including a failure to define rights of indigenous peoples, local communities, and farmers, and address conflict with TRIPS.

The keynote speaker, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate Rigoberta Menchu Tum, declined to read her statement, objecting to lack of time and dialogue. She insisted her statement be included in the report to WSSD to address concerns of indigenous peoples. The EU welcomed developing countries’ cooperation in crafting the Bonn guidelines. GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL said Parties had been unable to put aside their differences, instead favoring nationalism over the environment. WWF contrasted local action with the CBD’s pace in addressing environmental destruction. The COURT OF EDEN called on the Netherlands to recognize its indigenous people.

WORKING GROUP II

WG-II Chair Elaine Fisher (Jamaica) called for adoption of WG-II’s report UNEP/CBD/COP/6/WGII/L.1. Cameron, on behalf of the AFRICAN GROUP, requested that their statement on benefit-sharing (ABS) be reflected in the discussion on the adoption of the Bonn guidelines. The document was adopted with this amendment.
FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MECHANISM: Delegates considered UNEP/CBD/COP/6/WG.II/CRP.10 on additional financial resources. Contact group Co-Chair Linda Brown (United Kingdom) reported on progress and, with some discussions, delegates adopted the CRP.

In the afternoon, the contact group discussed outstanding issues on the financial mechanism. They agreed on language: welcoming the expansion of the GEF Small Grants Programme; balancing support to national and regional projects, particularly for SIDS; and providing additional financial guidance for specific areas. Guidance for forest biodiversity remains outstanding, pending the outcome of deliberations on the item. Delegates also discussed the status of countries with economies in transition, which, according to CBD Articles 20 (Financial Resources) and 21 (Financial Mechanism) are not entitled to financial resources. Their representatives suggested referencing CBD Article 23.4(i) on additional action for the purposes of the Convention and inserted reference to countries with economies in transition under additional guidance to the GEF.

WG-II addressed the issue, during discussion of UNEP/CBD/COP/6/WG.II/CRP.11/Rev.1, without resolution. Chair Fisher noted that these countries have access to funding on the basis of Article 9(b) of the GEF Instrument, but not outside the instrument's financial mechanism. NEW ZEALAND's concern about lack of real guidance and on supporting priorities of national biodiversity strategies and action plans will be reflected in WG-II's report. Following minor amendments, the document was accepted with remaining brackets.

ARTICLE 8(j): The Secretariat introduced UNEP/CBD/COP/6/WG.II/CRP.9/Rev.1, highlighting revisions on reference to small groups of indigenous peoples in the outline of the composite report, and bracketed language on CBD provisions on prior informed consent (PIC) and mutually agreed terms (MATs). Delegates agreed to a proposal by COLOMBIA and SWITZERLAND, urging governments to consider relevant CBD provisions with respect to PIC and MATs where traditional knowledge is used.

NICARAGUA, supported by COLOMBIA and ECUADOR, suggested retaining the concept of compensation, parallel to ABS, but delegates agreed to reference ABS in conformity with CBD language. CANADA noted the arguments of indigenous communities and proposed withdrawing reference to consultation and including only PIC where subject to the national regime. The EU and NORWAY supported the proposed compromise. The INTERIOR ALLIANCE called for recognition of the international principle of PIC of indigenous peoples, without restriction by national legal regimes. The CRP was adopted.

MULTI-YEAR PROGRAMME OF WORK: The Secretariat introduced UNEP/CBD/COP/6/WG.II/CRP.9/Rev.1, highlighting revisions on reference to small groups of indigenous peoples in the outline of the composite report, and bracketed language on CBD provisions on prior informed consent (PIC) and mutually agreed terms (MATs). Delegates agreed to a proposal by COLOMBIA and SWITZERLAND, urging governments to consider relevant CBD provisions with respect to PIC and MATs where traditional knowledge is used.

NICARAGUA, supported by COLOMBIA and ECUADOR, suggested retaining the concept of compensation, parallel to ABS, but delegates agreed to reference ABS in conformity with CBD language. CANADA noted the arguments of indigenous communities and proposed withdrawing reference to consultation and including only PIC where subject to the national regime. The EU and NORWAY supported the proposed compromise. The INTERIOR ALLIANCE called for recognition of the international principle of PIC of indigenous peoples, without restriction by national legal regimes. The CRP was adopted.