THIRD SESSION OF THE CSD’S INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON FORESTS (IPF-3) 9-20 SEPTEMBER 1996

The third session of Intergovernmental Panel on Forests will open Monday, 9 September in Geneva. The Panel will continue consideration of its five categories of work, which is divided into 11 programme elements, and will convene two Working Groups. Working Group I is expected to consider Category I (implementation of UNCED decisions related to forests at the national and international level including an examination of sectoral and cross-sectoral linkages) and Category III (scientific research, forest assessment and development of criteria and indicators for sustainable forest management); and Working Group II is expected to address Category II (international cooperation in financial assistance and technology transfer) and Category IV (trade and environment relating to forest products and services). Category V (international organizations and multilateral institutions and instruments including appropriate legal mechanisms) is expected to be discussed in Plenary.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE IPF

The Economic and Social Council, in its decision 1995/226, endorsed the recommendation of the third session of the Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD) to establish an open-ended ad hoc Intergovernmental Panel on Forests (IPF) to pursue consensus and coordinated proposals for action to support the management, conservation and sustainable development of forests. In pursing its mandate, the IPF is focusing on 11 issues clustered into five interrelated categories and will submit final conclusions and policy recommendations to the CSD at its fifth session in 1997.

The first meeting of the IPF took place in New York from 11-15 September 1995. At this meeting, delegates adopted the IPF programme of work and attempted to set the dates and venues of future meetings. Several issues that have typically divided North and South again proved difficult. Members of the G-77 were resistant to any proposal that could foreseeably lead to a loss of national control over forests and forest products. There was also concern about the subject of criteria and indicators (C&I) and whether proposed intersessional workshops should constitute an official part of the Panel process. Developed countries questioned the need to extend the length of Panel meetings and expressed serious concerns about the Panel’s work.

The IPF held its second session from 11-22 March 1996 in Geneva. Delegates conducted their first substantive discussions of six programme elements, and also completed initial consideration of the remaining elements. During the final two days of the meeting, delegates considered the Co-Chairs' summaries. They labeled these transitional in nature to signify that the summaries did not represent negotiated text. Delegates agreed to begin negotiations at IPF-3 on items that had received substantive consideration at the second session, although another substantive discussion is scheduled on the programme element on financial assistance and technology transfer. Delegates left Geneva satisfied that they had expressed national positions on a range of forest issues, but were somewhat frustrated that all of their positions were not reflected in the report of IPF-2.

RESULTS OF COUNTRY-LED INITIATIVES

EXPERT CONSULTATION ON IMPLEMENTING THE FOREST PRINCIPLES —PROMOTION OF NATIONAL FOREST AND LAND-USE PROGRAMMES: The expert consultation “Implementing the Forest Principles — Promotion of National Forest and Land Use Programmes” was held in Feldafing, Germany from 16-21 June 1996. Sponsored by Germany, the consultation focused on components of programme element I.1, Promotion of National Forest and Land Use Plans and Programmes, and programme element II, International Cooperation and Financial Assistance and Technology Transfer for Sustainable Forest Management (SFM). Experts from governments, the private sector, NGOs and international organizations discussed four themes: sectoral planning, policies and the institutional setting; investment programming; national capacity and capacity building; and international cooperation in support of national forest programmes (NFPs). The participants — 31 from developing countries and 36 from developed countries —produced a report, which includes “options for action,” for further consideration during IPF-3. Among the options suggested for IPF consideration were: preparing a code of conduct involving financial institutions, cooperation agencies and national authorities; developing strategic planning for investments to facilitate funding for SFM; focusing capacity building on local institutions; establishing a forum for international consultation on forests; and enabling the conceptual development and implementation of country-led NFPs. For photos and a full report of the Feldafing Expert Consultation try http://www.isisd.ca/links/proceedings/ .

WORKSHOP ON FINANCIAL MECHANISMS AND SOURCES OF FINANCE FOR SUSTAINABLE FORESTRY: The workshop, co-sponsored by UNDP and the Governments of Denmark and South Africa, was held from 4-7 June 1996 in Pretoria, South Africa, and was attended by 70 experts from 45 countries. The workshop produced the Pretoria Declaration, which will support and contribute to IPF’s work on international cooperation in financial assistance and technology.
transfer. In the Declaration, the experts recognized that forests, including wooded lands, simultaneously provide a wide range of economic, social, environmental and cultural benefits at the local, national, regional and global levels, and that maintaining all types of the world’s forests in a healthy state is in the collective interest of present and future generations. The experts called upon governments to take a number of urgent actions, such as: securing the market value of natural resources capital stock, land-use planning and security of land tenure through policy reforms; creating and promoting a favorable environment for investment in SFM, including macro-economic stability; providing incentives and promoting the use of appropriate technologies to support SFM; and formulating and implementing national forest programmes that include clear action plans and provisions for in-country coordinating mechanisms and monitoring of progress.
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