

Earth Negotiations Bulletin

A Reporting Service for Environment and Development Negotiations

Online at http://www.iisd.ca/linkages/cms/cop7/

Vol. 18 No. 15 Published by the International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD) Saturday, 21 September 2002

CMS COP-7 HIGHLIGHTS FRIDAY, 20 SEPTEMBER 2002

The Plenary met briefly in the morning to hear reports on the Working Groups and the Credentials Committee. Following the Plenary, the Committee of the Whole (COW) continued its work on reviewing implementation, discussing the CMS Information Management Plan (IMP), the Global Register of Migratory Species (GROMS), cooperation with other bodies, and consideration of proposals for amendments to Appendices I and II. Working Groups met to consider the Strategic Plan, the IMP, the Budget, and African cetaceans.

PLENARY

Strategic Plan Working Group Chair Olivier Biber reported that the Group focused on objectives outlined in the review of implementation of the Strategic Plan (UNEP/CMS/Conf.7.10). Reporting on the work of the drafting group to prepare a resolution on concerted actions, Scientific Council Chair Galbraith requested a further meeting to finalize the draft. Credentials Committee Chair Tatwany reported that 49 of the 65 registered countries have their credentials in order.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

CMS INFORMATION MANAGEMENT PLAN: CMS

Deputy Executive Secretary Hykle introduced the CMS IMP document (UNEP/CMS/Conf.7.6), highlighting close collaboration with UNEP-WCMC and linkages with the synthesis of national reports. UNEP-WCMC outlined the benefits of the IMP, including access to information on species and themes, and recommended linking this system with the CBD Clearing House-Mechanism. The COW established a working group to undertake a review of the information system, with SWITZERLAND suggesting inclusion of the Global Biodiversity Information Facility in its framework. The EU requested better integration of the GROMS into the CMS information strategy and urged the CMS Secretariat to take the lead in developing an information plan in collaboration with other organizations. GERMANY called for harmonization of all available information tools.

GLOBAL REGISTER OF MIGRATORY SPECIES

(GROMS): CMS Executive Secretary Müller-Helmbrecht reviewed progress on the GROMS (UNEP/CMS/Conf.7.7), suggesting that UNEP-WCMC should manage the register, and calling for more financial contributions. The PHILIPPINES drew attention to the South-East Asian database network on migratory

species and suggested linking it with the GROMS. SENEGAL, on behalf of African countries, called for capacity building and identifying focal points. ZIMBABWE proposed improving maps by detailing animal density and their migratory passage routes. Klaus Riede (Germany) acknowledged the proposals and suggestions, and requested additional funding and networking to develop the GROMS. The COW established a working group to further work on the GROMS.

COOPERATION WITH OTHER BODIES: COW Chair Ignacio introduced a document on cooperation with other bodies (UNEP/CMS/Conf.7.11). Müller-Helmbrecht underscored this as a key document and highlighted the "enormous progress" made in networking with other international bodies. Noting that the aims of the CMS complement those of the CBD, he called for further collaboration and synergies. He added that the CMS Secretariat had signed MOUs with the Secretariats of the International Whaling Commission (IWC), CITES, the Ramsar Convention and UNESCO, and is finalizing a Joint Work Programme with the Ramsar Secretariat. Müller-Helmbrecht also highlighted cooperation with Wetlands International, IUCN, and the UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD).

NEW ZEALAND supported the development of strong collaborative arrangements, but recommended consultations to address financial implications and to consider each body's priorities. SIERRA LEONE supported cooperation with the UNCCD, and SENEGAL called for subregional cooperation and promoting transboundary reserves. Observing that many migratory species are wetland dependent, a Ramsar Convention representative drew attention to its Joint Work Programme with the CMS. Müller-Helmbrecht emphasized that the CMS capacity limitations hinder its ability to liaise with regional Conventions.

GUIDELINES FOR HARMONIZATION OF FUTURE AGREEMENTS: Müller-Helmbrecht requested COP guidance on carrying out the work on guidelines on the harmonization of future agreements (UNEP/CMS/Conf. 7.9.3). SWITZERLAND

future agreements (UNEP/CMS/Conf. 7.9.3). SWITZERLAND and MONACO proposed abandoning the idea of harmonization guidelines. GERMANY suggested that guidelines be developed on practical aspects that would promote the development of regional instruments.

PROPOSALS FOR AMENDMENTS TO APPENDICES I

AND II: COW Chair Ignacio and the Secretariat introduced documents on proposals for amendments to Appendices I and II (UNEP/CMS/Conf. 7.12, 7.12 Add., 7.12 Rev.1, 7.12 Rev.2, 7.12

This issue of the *Earth Negotiations Bulletin* © <enb@iisd.org> is written and edited by Fiona Koza <fiona@iisd.org>, Yael Ronen <ronenrak@yahoo.com>, Charlotte Salpin <charlotte@iisd.org>, Mark Schulman <mark@iisd.org>, and Chris Spence <chris@iisd.org>. The Digital Editor is David Fernau <david@iisd.org>. The Editor is Pamela S. Chasek, Ph.D. <pam@iisd.org> and the Director of IISD Reporting Services is Langston James "Kimo" Goree VI <kimo@iisd.org>. The Operations Manager is Marcela Rojo <marcela@iisd.org> and the On-Line Assistant is Diego Noguera <diego@iisd.org>. The Sustaining Donors of the *Bulletin* are The Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Government of Canada (through CIDA), the United States (through USAID), the Swiss Agency for Environment, Foreign Affairs, and the Government of Germany (through German Federal Ministry of Environment - DFID), the European Commission (DG-ENV), the Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and the Ministry of Development Cooperation - BMZ). General Support for the *Bulletin* during 2002 is provided by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Environment of Finland, the Government of Australia, the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Sweden, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade of New Zealand, the Ministries of Foreign Affairs and Environment of Norway, Swan International, and the Japanese Ministry of Environment (through the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies – IGES). Additional funding for this meeting was provided by the German Government (BMU). The *Bulletin* can be contacted by e-mail at <enb@iisd.org> and at tel: +1-212-644-0204; fax: +1-212-644-0206. IISD can be contacted by e-mail at <info@iisd.ca> and at 161 Portage Avenue East, 6th Floor, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 0Y4, Canada. The opinions expressed in the *Earth Negotiations Bulletin* may be used in noncommercial publications only and only with appropriate academic citation. For permission to use this material in commercial publications, contact the Director of IISD



Annex and CRP.1). Scientific Council Chair Galbraith informed Parties that due to information gaps in the Australian proposal to list six whale species in Appendix I, the Council supports listing only three of the species (Fin Whale, Sei Whale and Sperm Whale) in both Appendices I and II, and three (Antarctic Minke Whale, Bryde's Whale and Pygmy Right Whale) in Appendix II only. AUSTRALIA agreed to revise its proposal in accordance with the Council's recommendations, and welcomed the invitation to develop its proposals further. NORWAY opposed any whale species listings, underlining that IWC, CITES, IUCN and FAO already address issues relating to whales. He noted that the rate of decline for the Sei and Fin Whale populations does not meet Appendix I criteria. The EUROPEAN COMMUNITY, MONACO, THE PHILIPPINES, SOUTH AFRICA, GERMANY, NEW ZEALAND, INDIA, PERU, CHILE, ARGENTINA, URUGUAY, SLOVENIA, BULGARIA, and the WHALE AND DOLPHIN CONSERVATION SOCIETY (WDCS) supported Australia's revised proposal. GERMANY, BULGARIA and WDCS expressed regret that the three whales species did not qualify for Appendix I listing. URUGUAY and WDCS noted that other conventions address the trade and hunting of whales, while the CMS addresses their conservation and habitats. MONACO, PERU, BULGARIA and SLOVENIA drew attention to the precautionary principle.

AUSTRALIA also introduced its proposals, endorsed by the Scientific Council, to list the Killer Whale in Appendix II and the Great White Shark in Appendices I and II. Concerning the Great White Shark, NORWAY opposed the listing of either species, while the EC reserved its position until it receives more information.

PERU, supported by ARGENTINA and URUGUAY, proposed listing the South American Sea Lion and South American Fur Seal in Appendix II. These proposals, as well as Ghana's proposal to list the West African Manatee and Peru's proposal for the Amazonian Manatee, were accepted without reservations.

Stressing the unfavourable conservation status of the Gangetic River Dolphin and the Scientific Council's support for including it in Appendix I, INDIA requested that attention be paid to the proposal despite its late submission to the Secretariat. Hykle said the proposal would be circulated and considered by the COW at a later stage.

Concerning terrestrial mammals, Roseline Beudels, for the CMS Scientific Councillor for terrestrial mammals, stressed the Scientific Council's approval for listing the Wild Bactrian Camel in Appendix I. She noted the unfavourable conservation status of the Asiatic Wild Ass, Goitered Gazelle and Mongolian Gazelle, and the very unfavourable status of the Saiga Antelope. She highlighted the Council's recommendation that these species be listed in Appendix II.

John O'Sullivan, CMS Scientific Councillor for birds, explained that the Scientific Council had recommended 15 species for listing in Appendix I and four species for listing in Appendix II. SENEGAL, the EU, HUNGARY, and others supported inclusion of the European Turtledove in Appendix II. ZIMBABWE and MALI opposed its inclusion, highlighting that doves are abundant and commonly hunted in Africa. NORWAY requested withdrawing this proposal on the grounds that the dove is a common species. MOROCCO, SPAIN and EGYPT deferred listing the species until more information becomes available on its status.

The COW agreed to forward all other proposals to Plenary.

WORKING GROUPS

BUDGET WORKING GROUP: The Budget Working Group, chaired by Robert Vagg (UK), discussed the revision of the proposed budget for 2003-2005. Parties agreed that the proposed budget was too high and should be reduced. They sought clarification on various items, including staffing and regional agreements. The Secretariat cited funding for conservation measures, implementation measures and agreement development as a major source of the increase in core budget, and added that the additional costs are modest in relation in other conventions. An NGO appealed to delegates not simply to consider the increase in terms of percentage, but to note that the actual sums involved are not large. Delegates requested the Secretariat to produce three alternative budget proposals that reflect 0%, 10% and 20% increases from the last budget for discussion at the next working group meeting.

IMP WORKING GROUP: The Information Management Plan Working Group, chaired by Gerardo Fragoso (UNEP-WCMC), met in the afternoon to discuss the usefulness of the CMS web-based library for dissemination of information. Delegates noted the financial difficulties that developing countries, NGOs and others encounter in accessing information, and the resulting inability to appraise scientific developments.

AFRICAN CETACEANS WORKING GROUP: This Group, chaired by Demba Mamadou (Senegal), met in two sessions to develop a MOU on West African cetaceans. Participants also discussed the need for a CMS regional coordinator for Africa and for the development of a MOU on the African Elephant.

STRATEGIC PLAN WORKING GROUP: This Group met in the evening, with participants debating views on the nature and scope of its work. Chair Biber highlighted the Group's role in considering general priorities and items that are relevant to the budget. Scientific Council Chair Galbraith said that identification of priorities for action under the Strategic Plan would be assisted by redefining the *modus operandi*. Biber questioned whether further intersessional consideration of these matters might be useful. Delegates also commented on a written report on the Group's first meeting, prepared by rapporteur Diane Mortimer (UK).

IN THE CORRIDORS

The discussion on proposals to add new species to the CMS Appendices raised the intensity of what has otherwise been a relatively non-controversial meeting. Although the Convention is generally commended for its strong scientific basis, some delegates noticed jokingly that the listing of new species has revealed other, less scientific concerns, including species' culinary allure. Others noted with regret that the meeting was showing signs of politicization as a result of the whale issue.

THINGS TO LOOK FOR TODAY

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE: The COW will meet in the morning to conclude discussion on proposals for amendments to Appendices I and II, and to address institutional matters.

WORKING GROUPS: The IMP and Budget Working Groups will convene in the afternoon. The Strategic Plan Working Group is also expected to meet during the day.